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Root Canal Morphology of Human Permanent Mandibular Anterior Teeth in an Indian Population using CBCT.
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ABSTRACT

The tenets of root-canal treatment are the preparation, cleaning, and sealing of the root canals. An understanding of the variations in root-canal anatomy is necessary by those carrying out treatment. Recognition of a variation when it occurs is the first and most frequent step in completing successful treatment for these teeth. This study was done to evaluate differences in the root and canal configurations of mandibular permanent anterior incisors and canines in an Indian population by means of Cone Beam CT (CBCT) images.
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INTRODUCTION

The hard tissue repository of the human dental pulp takes on numerous configurations and shapes. A thorough knowledge of tooth morphology, careful interpretation of angled radiographs, proper access preparation, and a detailed exploration of the interior of the tooth are essential prerequisites for a successful treatment outcome.

Slowey et al.[1] stated that the root canal anatomy of each tooth has certain commonly occurring characteristics as well as numerous atypical ones that can be roadmaps to successful endodontics.

Studies on root canal anatomy have been done using various techniques such as radiography, injecting a radiopaque contrast medium, clearing technique, direct observation with microscope, three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction, spiral computed tomography (CT), and macroscopic sections. Further methods to determine the root canal morphology include SEM-modified clearing and staining technique, cone-beam CT (CBCT), and micro-CT.

Recently, CBCT has become available for dental offices because of the reduced costs. Unlike conventional CT scans, they have a reduced acquisition time and use lower irradiation doses. Their field of view is limited, but the spatial resolution is very good in all planes. An advantage of the CBCT is that the images can be studied using different representations (multiplanar reformation and 3D surface rendering). They can be rotated in any spatial plane without superimposition of the anatomic structures.

It is a well-established fact that the root canal anatomy varies with races. Some authors concluded that gender plays a role in determining canal morphology and that both gender and ethnic origin should be considered in the pre-treatment evaluation for root canal therapy. In addition, patients of Asian descendants have different canal configurations than those reported in studies dominated by rest of population.

Only very few studies are there on root canal anatomy of mandibular teeth in the Indian population. Hence, this study was undertaken to extensively study the morphology of the mandibular anterior teeth using CBCT technique. The aim of the study is to evaluate the root canal morphology of all human permanent mandibular teeth from mandibular central incisor up to canine in an Indian population using CBCT. Hence, the purpose of the study is to evaluate the root canal morphology of all human permanent mandibular teeth from mandibular central incisor up to mandibular second molars in an Indian population using CBCT.

Aim

The aim of this study is to evaluate the root canal morphology of all human permanent mandibular teeth from mandibular central incisor up to mandibular second molars in an Indian population using CBCT.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Collection

A total of 100 extracted human mandibular teeth comprising of central incisor, lateral incisor, and canine were taken for this study. Teeth with fracture, caries, cuspal fracture, and severe attrition were excluded from the study. The teeth were then stored in formalin, and any attached soft tissue and calculus were removed with an ultrasonic scaler. The storage was carried out as per the OSHA guidelines and regulation.[2] The teeth were then mounted vertically on a wax sheet of 4 mm with the buccal surface facing outward for scanning.

Assessment Protocol

The American Dental Association has recently developed a Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) standard for use in dentistry. This software was used in this study where the images could be saved in DICOM format.

Sample Arrangement

The teeth were randomly inserted into foam arches in close contact to each other to simulate their natural alignment in a dental arch. Teeth were randomly arranged on a wax sheet which was folded in to a thickness of 2 mm to mimic soft tissue on the radiographs. A motorized arm and platform with the help of two laser beams provide precise alignment. Bite blocks and lateral holders provide wax mandible support to which teeth are mounted. All the teeth were scanned by a CBCT scanner with constant thickness of 0.25 mm voxel size. The teeth were viewed in axial, sagittal, and coronal plane. Volume rendering and multiplanar volume reconstruction were performed using the Advantage Windows workstation.

Parameters assessed

I. Length of the mandibular anterior teeth.
II. Internal morphologic measurements.
   a. Measurement of the distance from incisal edge and the pulp chamber roof in anterior teeth.
   b. Relation of cementoenamel junction (CEJ) to roof of the pulp chamber in anterior teeth.
III. Number of roots in mandibular anterior teeth.
IV. Number root canals in mandibular anterior teeth.
V. Pattern of root canal morphology in mandibular anterior teeth.

Assessment Modality

The advent of CBCT technology has paved way for the development of relatively small and inexpensive CT scanners dedicated for use in dentomaxillofacial imaging [Figure 1].

RESULTS

Tables 1a-e show mandibular central incisors, lateral incisors, and canines.

Figure 1: Scanned samples - scout image
Root canal morphology of human permanent mandibular anterior teeth

Table 1a: Number of roots and root canals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth (n=100)</th>
<th>Single root (%)</th>
<th>One canal (%)</th>
<th>Two canal (%)</th>
<th>Three canal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central incisor</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral incisor</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canine</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1b: Root canal pattern (Vertucci’s classification)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth (n=100)</th>
<th>Type I</th>
<th>Type II</th>
<th>Type III</th>
<th>Type IV</th>
<th>Type V</th>
<th>Type VI</th>
<th>Type VII</th>
<th>Type VIII</th>
<th>C-shaped canal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central incisor</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral incisor</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canine</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1c: Crown/root length

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth (n=100)</th>
<th>Crown length</th>
<th>Root length</th>
<th>Overall length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central incisor</td>
<td>9.28 mm</td>
<td>12.9 mm</td>
<td>22.2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral incisor</td>
<td>8.63 mm</td>
<td>12.83 mm</td>
<td>21.4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canine</td>
<td>10.3 mm</td>
<td>14.8 mm</td>
<td>25 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1d: Distance from the incisal edge to roof of the pulp chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth (n=100)</th>
<th>Mean (mm)</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central incisor</td>
<td>4.4171</td>
<td>1.2384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral incisor</td>
<td>4.8516</td>
<td>1.0498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canine</td>
<td>5.6022</td>
<td>1.2943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1e: Relation of CEJ to roof of the pulp chamber

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth (n=100)</th>
<th>CEJ coinciding with roof (%)</th>
<th>CEJ above the roof (%)</th>
<th>CEJ below the roof (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central incisor</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral incisor</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canine</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CEJ: Cementoenamel junction

Morphological Measurements [Tables 1c-e, Figures 2 and 3]

Figure 2: Cone-beam computed tomography views - coronal, axial, and sagittal

Figure 3: Morphological measurements in mandibular teeth

CBCT scanning or digital volume tomography uses an extraoral imaging scanner to produce 3D scans of the maxillofacial skeleton at a considerably lower radiation dose than conventional CT scanning. CBCT scanning has been shown to be more accurate than digital radiographs in determining root canal systems.

When the root canal configuration deviates from the normal and expected anatomy, it is always difficult for an endodontist to adequately and effectively clean, shape, and obturate it 3D. The awareness of these possible deviations that might occur in the root canal morphology would greatly enhance the quality of treatment.

Many studies have reported that root canal systems vary according to race. Literature reveals that very few studies have been done on root canal morphology of teeth in an Indian population. Studies on
morphologic variations have been reported on the mandibular first premolars by Velmurugan et al. in 2009,[4] mandibular first molar by Reuben et al.[3] in 2008, and mandibular second molars by Prasanna et al. in 2010 on the Indian populations. Hence, this study was undertaken to extensively assess the root canal morphology of all the mandibular teeth.

The overall average length of the mandibular central incisor is 2.2 cm with an average crown length of 9.28 mm and an average root length of 12.9 mm. The mandibular lateral incisor with an average length is 21.4 mm, with the crown length of 8.63 mm and with an average root length of 12.83 mm.

The mean distance from the incisal edge to the roof of the pulp chamber in mandibular central and lateral incisor was 4.41 mm and 4.85 mm, respectively.

The CEJ coincided with the roof of pulp chamber in 24% and 33% coincides with the cases, and it was lower than the roof of pulp chamber in 39 and 33% of the samples, respectively.

Most common root canal pattern in central incisor and lateral incisor was type I pattern (89% and 74%). These results are similar to the results of a previous study done in Turkish population[9] but contrary to the results reported by Uma et al. who reported that type III pattern was more prevalent. However, in this study, type III pattern was seen only in 13% and 17% of central incisors and lateral incisors, respectively.

In 2010, Boruah and Lalith[12] using staining technique reported that the majority of mandibular incisors in north-east Indian population had a single root canal type I (63.75%). Although 36.25% of roots possessed two canals only, 6.25% had two separate apical foramina.

In the present study, the incidence of second canal was found to be only 11%. Madiera and Hetenn have also reported similar incidence of 11.3% of two canals in Turkish population, whereas Miyashita M et al. in 1997 reported 15% (1085 samples) of two canals in mandibular incisors in Japanese population. Our result is contrary to the results reported by Kartal and Yanicoglu[10] (43%) and Benjamin and Dowson[11] (41.4%) who had reported very high incidence of two canals.

CONCLUSION

Overall mandibular central incisors (73%), lateral incisors (67%), and canines (78%) - majority of samples displayed type I configuration in Indian population. Two canals were detected in 11% of the both incisors and 14% in canines. Type III pattern was seen in 13% and 17% of the central and lateral incisors. 6% canines had type III configuration and 3% had type II. CEJ was commonly found below the pulp chamber (75%, 67%, and 65%).

Mandibular Canine

The average length of mandibular canines in our study was found to be 25 mm. This is in accordance with the result reported by Pucci and Reig[9] in 1944. Distance from the incisal edge to the pulp chamber roof showed a mean value of 5.6 mm. This distance could act as a guide during access cavity preparation. In 31% of the sample studies, the pulp chamber roof coincided with CEJ. CEJ was lower than the roof of pulp chamber in 65% of samples and, in 4% of the cases, above the pulp chamber. Hence, CEJ cannot be used as a guide for the assessment of depth of pulp chamber.

All the samples were single rooted. In the present study, type I pattern (79%) was the most common followed by type IV (9%). This result is in accordance with the earlier in study done by Sikri et al. in 2003 in Indian population.

2% of samples showed type VI pattern and 1% showed type V pattern. The existence of two canals was seen in 14%. This is in agreement with results of Hession[13] (11%), Green[14] (13%), and Köffe et al.[15] (13.75%). It is, however, higher than the result of Bellizzi and Hartwell[16] (4.11%) and Ingle et al.[17] (6%) but lower than the result of Vertucci[18] (22%).

CONCLUSION

CBCT allows identification of anatomic features and variations of the root canal system. Therefore, within the limitations of this study, it can be concluded that:

Mandibular central incisors (73%), lateral incisors (67%), and canines (78%) - majority of samples displayed type I configuration. Two canals were detected in 11% of the both incisors and 14% in canines. Type III pattern was seen in 13% and 17% of the central and lateral incisors. 6% canines had type III configuration and 3% had type II. CEJ was commonly found below the pulp chamber (75%, 67%, and 65%).

In a clinical scenario, to treat and retreat, dentists should be aware of the possible existence of additional canals and variations in canal anatomy before initiating endodontic treatment.
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