Assessment of Anatomical Variations of Paranasal Sinus Region: A Computed Tomography Study

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Facial pain syndrome or rhinogenic headache is a headache secondary to mucosal contact points in the sinonasal cavities, wherein there is absence of inflammatory signs, hyperplastic mucosa, purulent discharge, and sinonasal polyps or masses. This headache may also result from pressure on the nasal mucosa due to anatomical variations. Anatomical disparities of nose have been reported to predispose to sinusitis. These variants may determine contact points between nasal structures, stimulating “trigger” points and determining facial pain crisis. Facial pain is localized to the periorbital, frontal, temporozygomatic region, which may be unilateral or bilateral.

The aim of this study is to assess the prevalence of anatomical variations – concha bullosa, nasal septum deviation, and air cells (Haller cells, Agger nasi cells, Onodi cells).

Materials and methods: This retrospective study was conducted at the Department of Radiodiagnosis, RajaRajeswari Medical College & Hospital, Bengaluru, India. Data comprised paranasal sinus computed tomography images of 50 patients (25 males and 25 females) that were retrieved from archives and analyzed for presence of anatomical variations, such as deviation of nasal septum, concha bullosa, and air cells – Agger nasi cell, Haller cell, and Onodi cell. Data obtained were analyzed with Chi-square test and Mann–Whitney test.

Results: Deviated nasal septum was seen in 88% of the cases followed by Agger nasi cells (66%), concha bullosa (64%), Haller cells (56%), and Onodi cells (38%). We found no statistical significance when comparing the relationship of anatomical variations with age, side, and gender.
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INTRODUCTION

Facial pain syndrome or rhinogenic headache is a headache secondary to mucosal contact points in the sinonasal cavity, wherein there is absence of inflammatory signs, hyperplastic mucosa, purulent discharge, and sinonasal polyps or masses. This headache may also result from pressure on the nasal mucosa due to anatomical variations. Anatomical disparities of nose have been reported to predispose to sinusitis. Chronic rhinosinusitis is one of the most common illnesses, and it has been known to negatively impact health-related quality of life. Common anatomical variations include deviated nasal septum, concha bullosa, air cells – Agger nasi, Haller cell, and Onodi cell. These variants may determine contact points between nasal structures, thereby, stimulating “trigger points” and determining facial pain crisis. Facial pain may be localized to periorbital, frontal, or temporozygomatic region, which might be unilateral or bilateral. Three-dimensional (3D) imaging of paranasal sinuses is mandatory for diagnosis and treatment of the underlying anatomical variations, as these variations could be a cause for sinonasal symptoms, facial pain symptoms, and headache. Endoscopic sinus surgery is the treatment of choice for refractory sinusitis. Hence, understanding the complex anatomy of skull base is crucial for safe endoscopic sinus surgery to prevent from complication. The aim of the study is to assess the anatomical variation of paranasal sinus region and determine the relationship of these variations with respect to age, side, and gender of an individual.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

Aims

- To assess the prevalence of anatomical variations of paranasal sinus region
- To determine the relationship of these variations with age, side, and gender of an individual.

Objectives

- To aid in diagnosis of facial pain and headache.
- To ascertain the frequency and corelationship of these variants to age and sex.
- To facilitate surgical planning in patients undergoing endonasal procedure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out at the Department of Radiodiagnosis, RajaRajeswari Medical College & Hospital.
Bengaluru, India. The sources of data for our study were retrospectively selected paranasal sinus computed tomography (CT) images of 50 patients (25 males and 25 females) randomly collected from CT archives in the age group of 18 to 70 years.

**Inclusion Criteria**
- The CT paranasal sinus images in axial, coronal, and sagittal planes.
- Age group 18 to 70 years.

**Exclusion Criteria**
- The CT images with alteration of paranasal sinus anatomy due to surgery, tumor, or facial trauma
- Patients less than 18 years.

All the patients underwent CT scan of the paranasal sinus region with Siemens Somatom Perspective 128 with syngo CT 2012 A software. The sections were taken with slice thickness of 5 mm. The exposure settings used were 130 kVp and 35 mA and reviewed for the presence of deviated nasal septum, concha bullosa, air cells – agar nasi, Haller cell, and Onodi cell in axial, coronal, and sagittal views. The data collected were subjected for statistical analysis.

**RESULTS**

The most common anatomical variation observed was deviated nasal septum, 44 (88%), followed by Agger nasi cells, 33 (66%), concha bullosa, 32 (64%), Haller cells, 28 (56%), and Onodi cells, 19 (38%).

Septal deviation was the most common variation seen. Out of 50 scans, nasal septum accounts for 44 (88%), among which 17 (47.72%) deviated toward left side and 23 (52.27%) deviated toward right side.

As per our study, out of 50 scans analyzed, Agger nasi cells were observed in 33 (66%), out of which 14 (28%) were present unilaterally and 19 (38%) bilaterally. Also, 8 (57.1%) were found on left side and 6 (42.9%) on right side. We revealed no statistical significance between gender and presence of Agger nasi cells (42.4% male, 57.6% female; \( p = 0.14 \)).

Out of the 50 scans analyzed, concha bullosa was perceived in 32 (64%), out of which 28 (56%) were present unilaterally and 4 (8%) present bilaterally. Also, 17 (60.7%) were noted on right side and 11 (39.3%) on left side. We noticed no statistical difference between gender and presence of concha bullosa (50% male, 50% female; \( p = 1 \)).

Of the 50 scans analyzed, Haller cells were seen in 28 (56%), out of which 20 (40%) presented unilaterally and 8 (16%) bilaterally. Also, 11 (55.5%) were noted on right side and 9 (45.05%) on left side. We found no statistically difference between gender and the presence of Haller cells (42.9% male, 57.1% female; \( p = 0.25 \)).

Of the 50 scans, Onodi cell were observed in 19 (38%), noted only unilaterally, out of which 12 (63.2%) were noted on right side and 7 (36.75) on left side. We revealed no statistically significance between gender and presence of Onodi cells (57.9% male, 42.1% female; \( p = 0.3 \)).

According to our study, we found no statistical significance between the mean age group and presence of anatomical variations (concha bullosa \( p = 1 \), Agger nasi \( p = 0.63 \), Haller cells \( p = 0.18 \), Onodi cells \( p = 0.73 \), and deviated nasal septum \( p = 0.30 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

Nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses together configure a single anatomical and functional unit. This region is subject to a large number of anatomical variations and a variety of lesions. The potential role of anatomical variations of the paranasal sinus region is mainly predisposed to recurrent sinusitis and, in selected cases, headache. Also, these variants may determine contact points between nasal structures leading to facial pain crisis. These variants are important in two distinct viewpoints: Firstly, their relationship to disturbing drainage and ventilation system and secondly, the potential impact on operative technique and surgical safety.

**Deviated Nasal Septum**

As per literature, deviated nasal septum is the most frequent anatomical variation found. It represents a divergence of septum from the midline. Deviated nasal septum can be cartilaginous, bony type, or a combination of both. Deviation of septum causes lateral decompression and displacement of the middle turbinate leading to nasal obstruction. The incidence of nasal septum varies from 14.1 to 80%. According to Earwaker (44%), Nar-endrakumar and Subramanian (76%), Pérez-Piñas et al (80%), Sarika et al (64.44%), and Turna et al (59.1%), most were toward right side. However, in this study, we found 88%, out of which 52.27% were toward left and 47.72% toward right side, which is almost similar to Pérez-Piñas et al finding.

**Concha Bullosa**

The term concha bullosa was coined by Zuckerlandl in 1862. It represents the extensive pneumatization of the middle turbinate and is one of the most common anatomical variations of the sinonasal anatomy. It has been implicated as a possible etiological factor in recurrent sinusitis due to its postulated negative influence on ventilation of paranasal sinus and mucociliary clearance in the middle meatus region. In cases of...
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extensive pneumatization, it may cause significant problems, such as headache and nasal blockage. Frequency of concha bullosa ranges from 14 to 80%. However, as per this study, the prevalence of concha bullosa was 64%, out of which 56% were present uni-laterally and 8% present bilaterally, and 60.7% were noted on right side and 39.3% on left side. Our findings correlated with the findings of Bolger et al, Scribano et al, Pérez-Piñas et al, Khojastepour et al, Talaiepour et al, Narendrakumar and Subramanian, Fadda et al, and Wani et al. The great variation in the reported prevalence may be due to diverse study populations and different criteria for pneumatization.

**Table 1:** Incidence and genderwise comparison of anatomical variations among study participants using Chi-square test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical variations</th>
<th>Incidence (%)</th>
<th>Unilateral (%)</th>
<th>Bilateral (%)</th>
<th>Males (n = 50)</th>
<th>Females (n = 50)</th>
<th>χ² value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNS</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANC</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>2.228</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>1.299</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CB: Concha bullosa; DNS: Deviated nasal septum; ANC: Agger nasi cell; HC: Haller cell; OC: Onodi cell

**Agger Nasi Cell**

Agger nasi cells are the most anterior ethmoidal cells, located anteriorly to the upper margin of the nasolacrimal duct and anteriorly to the plane of maxillary sinus infundibulum. It can pneumatize posteriorly to narrow the frontal recess. Its clinical importance has been defined by Brunner et al in 1996. The extensive pneumatization with consequent narrowing of the frontal sinus ostium causes significant persistent frontoethmoid pain and chronic frontal sinusitis. The reported prevalence of Agger nasi cell in the previous literature varies from 10 to 98.5%. In our study, the prevalence of Agger nasi cells was 66%, out of which 28% were present unilaterally and 38% bilaterally, and 57.1% were noted on left side and 42.9% on right side. Our findings are almost consistent with previous studies conducted by Fadda et al, Talaiepour et al, and Narendrakumar and Subramanian.

**Table 2:** Unilateral distribution of anatomical variations of paranasal air sinuses using Chi-square goodness of fit test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical variations</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>χ² value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>1.286</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNS</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>52.27</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>47.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANC</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>1.136</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CB: Concha bullosa; DNS: Deviated nasal septum; ANC: Agger nasi cell; HC: Haller cell; OC: Onodi cell

**Haller Cells**

Haller cells or infraorbital ethmoidal air cells are pneumatized ethmoid air cells that project along the medial roof of the maxillary sinus and the most inferior portion of the lamina papyracea, below the ethmoid bulla and lateral to the uncinate process. This cell was first described by an anatomist Albert Haller in 1765. When enlarged, it can cause obstruction of the posterior aspect of the ethmoidal infundibulum and ostium

**Table 3:** Comparison of mean age in relation to anatomical variations using Mann–Whitney U test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical variations</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>95% CI of the difference</th>
<th>z-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–8.3</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNS</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>–1.303</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>–6.0</td>
<td>–0.482</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>–6.0</td>
<td>–1.331</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>–5.7</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>–0.350</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>–1.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>–1.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CB: Concha bullosa; DNS: Deviated nasal septum; ANC: Agger nasi cell; HC: Haller cell; OC: Onodi cell; SD: Standard deviation; SEM: Standard error of the mean
leading to maxillary sinusitis, resulting in orofacial pain and sinusitis, nasal obstruction, impaired nasal breathing, headache, chronic cough, and mucocceles.\textsuperscript{22,23} The prevalence of Haller cells is remarkably variable, ranging from 2 to 70.3%.\textsuperscript{17} As per our study, Haller cells were found in 56% of the study population, out of which 40% were present unilaterally and 16 bilaterally. Also, 55.5% were noted on the right side and 45.05% on the left side. However, our findings are similar with those of Bolger et al\textsuperscript{16} and Khojastepour et al.\textsuperscript{17}

### Onodi Cells

Also known as sphenoeothmoidal cells, these were first described by the Hungarian laryngologist Adolf Onodi, in 1904.\textsuperscript{24} They are ethmoid cells that have migrated to the anterior region of the sphenoid sinus, with anterosuperior location, and intimately related to the optic nerve, causing optic neuropathy in case of certain conditions that affect such cells. Onodi cell is the most posterior ethmoid air cell that extends laterally.\textsuperscript{26} The prevalence of Onodi cells varies from 8 to 13%,\textsuperscript{12} according to Turna et al (13.5%),\textsuperscript{10} Fadda et al (8.5%),\textsuperscript{19} and Narendrakumar and Subramanian (6%).\textsuperscript{12} Herein, the prevalence of Onodi cells in this study was 38%, seen only unilaterally and out of which 63.2% were seen on right side and 36.7% on left side. The prevalence in our study is comparatively higher as compared with previous literature. This may be due to small sample size and confined to only one ethnic group (South Indian population).

### CONCLUSION

Different anatomical variants may often be found in paranasal sinus region and 3D imaging is the modality of choice to evaluate these variants since conventional radiographs do not provide adequate information because of structural superimposition. These variants may determine contact points between nasal structures stimulating “trigger” points and determining facial pain crisis. Identification of these variants plays an important role while guiding the surgeons preoperatively and preventing iatrogenic complications. Since this is a preliminary study, our findings could be used in future studies with larger sample size.
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